

## GENERAL REAL INVERSION OPERATOR

D. K. BHATTACHARYA

**Abstract :** The paper deals with the inversion formula of Laplace-Stieltjes integrals in one and more variables by using a general real inversion operator from which similar operators used by A. Erdelyi [ 2 ] and P. G. Rooney [ 3 ] follow as particular cases.

### 1.1. Introduction :

$$\text{Let } f(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} d\{\alpha(t)\}$$

then the real inversion operator is taken as

$$\int_0^t L_{k,t}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} [f(s)] dt, \text{ where}$$

$$L_{k,t}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} [f(s)] = (A_1) \int_0^{\infty} x^{\nu} [J_{kx}]_{\nu}^{\mu} f\left[\frac{k(x+1)}{t}\right] dx, \lambda \geq 0, \nu > -1, (\mu, k) > 0.$$

$$\text{and } A_1 = k^{\lambda + \nu + \frac{3}{2}} 2^{2\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} \{\mu(\mu+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\} (t \sqrt{\pi})^{-1} [W_{\lambda, \nu} (4k)^{-1}]$$

$$[J_{kx}]_{\nu}^{\mu} = J_{\nu}^{\mu} (k^{\mu+1} x^{\mu}),$$

$$J_{\nu}^{\mu} (x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^k}{k! \Gamma(1 + \nu + \mu k)}$$

$$\text{Since } J_{\nu}^1 (x) = x^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} J_{\nu} (2x^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$\text{and } W_{0, \nu} (x) = \left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{\nu} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right),$$

we have,

$$L_{k,t}^{0,1,\nu} [f(s)] = [2t K_{\nu} (2k)]^{-1} k \int_0^{\infty} x^{\frac{\nu}{2}} J_{\nu} (2K x^{\frac{1}{2}}) f\left[\frac{k(x+1)}{t}\right] dx$$

—the operator  $L_{k,t} [f(s)]$  discussed by A. Erdelyi [2].

Again  $L_{k,t}^{0,1,\frac{1}{2}} [f(s)]$  are the cases discussed by P. G. Rooney [3].

Next Let  $f(s, t) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-sx-tv} d\{\alpha(x, y)\}$ , then the real inversion operator

is of the form

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty L_{k_1, k_2; x, y}^{\lambda; \mu_1, \mu_2; \nu_1, \nu_2} [f(s, t)] dx dy \\ = (A_2) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \prod_1^2 \left[ z_i^{\nu_i} \left\{ J_{k_i} \right\}_{\nu_i}^{\mu_i} \right] f \left[ \frac{k_1(z_1+1)}{x}, \frac{k_2(z_2+1)}{y} \right] dz_1 dz_2,$$

$$\lambda \geq 0, \nu_i > -1, (\mu_i, k_i) > 0, i=1, 2$$

$$\text{where } A_2 = \prod_1^2 \left[ k_i^{\lambda + \nu_i + \frac{1}{2}} 2^{4\lambda-1} \{\mu_i(\mu_i+1)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\pi xy)^{-1} \{W_{\lambda, \nu_i}(4K_i)\}^{-1} \right]$$

## 1.2. Notations.

In what follows, we use the following notations.

(a) A function  $\phi(x, y)$  is said to be quasi-normalized on  $S : [0, \infty; R_1, R_2]$  if  $\phi(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} [\phi]_{x\pm, y\pm}$ ,

where,  $[\phi]_{x\pm, y\pm} = \phi(x+, y+) + \phi(x+, y-) + \phi(x-, y+) + \phi(x-, y-)$ .

and  $\phi(x\pm, y\pm)$  means the limit of  $\phi(x, y)$  as  $(x, y) \rightarrow (x\pm, y\pm)$ .

along the line joining the points  $(x, y)$  and  $(x\pm, y\pm)$ .

(b) A function  $\phi(x, y)$  belongs to the class H on S, if

(i) for all possible rectangles consisting of lines of the form

$$x = x_i, y = y_j \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

the set of all summations

$$\sum_{i,j} |\{\phi\}_{D_{i,j}}|, D_{i,j} = [x_{i-1}, y_{j-1}; x_i, y_j]$$

is bounded on S.

(ii)  $\phi(x_0, y)$  and  $\phi(x, y_0)$  for some fixed values  $x_0, y_0$  are also functions of bounded variation on S,

(c) A function  $\phi(x, y)$  belongs to the class  $H_0$  on S. if

(i)  $\phi \in H$  on S

(ii)  $\phi(x, 0) = 0 = \phi(0, y)$ .

## 1.3 Theorem 1.3.1.

Let (i)  $\alpha(t)$  be a normalized function of bounded variation in  $(0, R)$ ,  $R > 0$ ,

$$(ii) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} d\{\alpha(t)\}$$

converges with  $s = \nu > 0$ , then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t L_{k, t}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} [f(s)] dt = \frac{1}{2} [\alpha(t+) + \alpha(t-)]$$

almost everywhere for  $t > 0$ , where  $\alpha(t \pm)$  exist.

**Proof:** By hypothesis,

$$f(s) = s \cdot \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} \alpha(t) dt.$$

$$\text{Now } L_{k, t}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} [f(s)] = (A_1) \cdot \int_0^{\infty} x^{\nu} [J_{kx}]^{\mu} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{k(x+1)}{t} e^{-\frac{k(x+1)u}{t}} \alpha(u) du \right\}$$

$$= \left(\frac{k}{t}\right) (A_1) \cdot \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{ku}{t}} \alpha(u) \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{kxu}{t}} \left( x^{\nu+1} + x^{\nu} \right) [J_{kx}]^{\mu} dx \right\} du$$

[by change of order of integration, which is easily justifiable]

$$= \left(\frac{k}{t}\right) \cdot (A_1) \cdot k^{-(\nu+2)} t^{\nu+2} \int_0^{\infty} u^{-(\nu+2)} e^{-k \left\{ \frac{u}{t} + \left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{\mu} \right\}} \cdot \alpha(u)$$

$$\cdot \left\{ (\nu+1) + \left( k \frac{u}{t} \right) - \mu k \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^{\mu} \right\} du.$$

$$= t \cdot k^{-(\nu+1)} (A_1) \cdot \int_0^{\infty} u^{-(\nu+2)} \cdot \alpha(u) \left[ t^{\nu} \left\{ (\nu+1) + \left( k \frac{u}{t} \right) - \mu k \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^{\mu} \right\} \cdot \right.$$

$$\left. e^{-k \left\{ \frac{u}{t} + \left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{\mu} \right\}} \right] du$$

$$= t \cdot k^{-(\nu+1)} (A_1) \int_0^{\infty} u^{-(\nu+2)} \cdot \alpha(u) \frac{d}{dt} \left[ e^{-k \left\{ \frac{u}{t} + \left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{\mu} \right\}} \cdot t^{(\nu+1)} \right] du$$

$$\therefore \int_0^t L_{k, t}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} [f(s)] dt$$

$$= t \cdot k^{-(\nu+1)} (A_1) \cdot t^{\nu+1} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-k \left\{ \frac{u}{t} + \left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{\mu} \right\}} u^{-(\nu+2)} \cdot \alpha(u) du$$

(differentiation under the sign of integration being permissible as by hypothesis

$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} \cdot t^{-(\nu+2)} \alpha(t) dt$  converges uniformly and absolutely for  $s > \gamma$ .

$$\sim \frac{k^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 2^{2\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} \{\mu(\mu+1)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\sqrt{\pi}) \cdot e^{-2k} \cdot (4k)^{\lambda}} \cdot t^{\nu+1} \cdot t^{-(\nu+2)} \cdot \{\alpha(t+) + \alpha(t-)\} e^{-2k} \cdot \frac{t\sqrt{\pi}}{\{2k\mu(\mu+1)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

(assuming that the asymptotic evaluation is justified).

$$= \frac{\alpha(t+) + \alpha(t-)}{2}, \quad t > 0.$$

Let us now justify the asymptotic evaluation.

Let  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  be respectively the integrals

$$k^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{t-\delta} e^{-k \left\{ \frac{u}{t} + \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^{\mu} \right\}} u^{-(\nu+2)} \alpha(u) du$$

$$\text{and } k^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{t+\delta}^{\infty} e^{-k \left\{ \frac{u}{t} + \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^{\mu} \right\}} u^{-(\nu+2)} \alpha(u) du$$

We choose  $k_0 > \gamma t$ , then for  $k > k_0$ ,

$$|I_2| \leq k^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} [W_{\lambda, \mu}(4k)]^{-1} \int_{t+\delta}^{\infty} e^{-k_0 \left\{ \frac{u}{t} + \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^{\mu} \right\}} e^{-(k-k_0) \left\{ \frac{u}{t} + \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^{\mu} \right\}} \cdot$$

$$| u^{-(\nu+2)} \alpha(u) | du$$

$$< k^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} [W_{\lambda, \nu}(4k)]^{-1} e^{(k-k_0) \left[ \frac{(t+\delta)}{t} + \left\{ \frac{(t+\delta)}{t} \right\}^{-\mu} \right]}.$$

$$\int_{t+\delta}^{\infty} e^{-k_0 \left\{ \frac{u}{t} + \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^{\mu} \right\}} | u^{-(\nu+2)} \alpha(u) | du$$

$$A(t) \cdot k^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} [W_{\lambda, \nu}(4k)]^{-1} e^{-k\alpha}, \quad [\alpha > 2, \mu \leq 1]$$

$$\sim A(t) \cdot k^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} e^{2k} e^{-k\alpha}$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly it may be shown that  $|I_1| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus the theorem is completely proved.

## Theorem. 1.3.2

Let (i)  $\alpha(x, y)$  be a normalized function belonging to  $H_0$  on  $S$

$$(ii) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-(sx-ty)} d\{\alpha(x, y)\}$$

converges with  $s = \nu_1 > 0$ ,  $t = \nu_2 > 0$ ,

$$\text{then, } \lim_{(k_1, k_2) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty)} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty L_{k_1, k_2; x, y}^{\lambda; \mu_1, \mu_2; \nu_1, \nu_2} [f(s, t)] dx dy = \frac{1}{4} [\alpha]_{x\pm, y\pm}$$

almost everywhere, where  $\alpha(x\pm, y\pm)$  exist.

Proof: By hypothesis, we have

$$f(s, t) = st \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-sx-ty} \alpha(x, y) dx dy$$

Therefore,

$$L_{k_1, k_2; x, y}^{\lambda; \mu_1, \mu_2; \nu_1, \nu_2} [f(s, t)] = (k_1 k_2 / xy) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-k_1 \frac{u}{x}} e^{-k_2 \frac{v}{y}} \alpha(u, v).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ e^{-k_1 z_1 \frac{u}{x}} e^{-k_2 z_2 \frac{v}{y}} \prod_1^2 \left\{ z_i^{\nu_i+1} + z_i^{\nu_i} \right\} \cdot \left[ J_{k_i z_i} \right]_{\nu_i}^{\mu_i} dz_i \right] du dv \\ &= (xy) \prod_1^2 \left\{ K_i^{-(\nu_i+1)} \right\} (A_2) \cdot \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u^{-(\nu_1+2)} v^{-(\nu_2+2)} \alpha(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[ e^{-k_1 \left\{ \frac{u}{x} + \left( \frac{x}{u} \right)^{\mu_1} \right\}} x^{\nu_1+1} \right] \cdot \frac{d}{dy} \left[ e^{-k_2 \left\{ \frac{v}{y} + \left( \frac{y}{v} \right)^{\mu_2} \right\}} y^{\nu_2+1} \right] du dv$$

$$\therefore \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty L_{k_1, k_2; x, y}^{\lambda; \mu_1, \mu_2; \nu_1, \nu_2} [f(s, t)] dx dy$$

$$= (xy) k_1^{-(\nu_1+1)} k_2^{-(\nu_2+1)} (A_2) \cdot x^{\nu_1+1} y^{\nu_2+1}.$$

$$\left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-k_1 \left\{ \frac{u}{x} + \left( \frac{x}{u} \right)^{\mu_1} \right\}} u^{-(\nu_1+2)} du \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{-k_2 \left\{ \frac{v}{y} + \left( \frac{y}{v} \right)^{\mu_2} \right\}} v^{-(\nu_2+2)} \alpha(u, v) dv \right]$$

$$\sim k_1^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} k_2^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} 2^{4\lambda-1} \{\mu_1 \mu_2 (\mu_1+1) (\mu_2+1)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-1} [W_{\lambda, \nu_1} (4k_1)]^{-1}$$

$$e^{2k_2} (4k_2)^{-\lambda}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& x^{r_1+1} y^{r_2+1} y^{-(r_2+2)} \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{-k_1 \left\{ \frac{u}{x} + \left( \frac{x}{u} \right)^{\mu_1} \right\}} u^{-(r_1+2)} \cdot \\
& \{ \alpha(u, y+) + \alpha(u, y-) \} du \cdot e^{-2k_2} (y \sqrt{\pi}) \{ 2k_2 \mu_2 (\mu_2 + 1) \}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ as } k_2 \rightarrow \infty \\
& \sim \prod_1^2 \left[ k_i^{\lambda + \frac{1}{2}} \{ \mu_i (\mu_i + 1) \}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{2k_i} \cdot (4k_i)^\lambda \right] \cdot 2^{4\lambda-1} \cdot \pi^{-1} \cdot x^{r_1+1} \cdot y^{r_2+1} \\
& x^{-(r_1+2)} y^{-(r_2+2)} e^{-2k_2} e^{-2k_1} [\alpha]_{x\pm, y\pm} \cdot (x \sqrt{\pi})(y \sqrt{\pi}) \cdot 2^{-1} \\
& \prod_1^2 \left\{ k_i \mu_i (\mu_i + 1) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\
& \text{as } k_1 \rightarrow \infty, k_2 \rightarrow \infty. \\
& = \frac{1}{4} [\alpha]_{x\pm, y\pm}.
\end{aligned}$$

The same result holds when  $k_2 \rightarrow \infty, k_1 \rightarrow \infty$ .

This completes the theorem.

**Remark :** Similarity of the results of theorem 1.3.1. & 1.3.2 obviously reveals that such real inversion formulae may be generalised to functions of  $n$  variables.

The author expresses his grateful thanks to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bernstein, D. L.—The double Laplace Integral—*Duke Math. J.*, 8 (1911).
- [2] Erdelyi, A.—The inversion of Laplace transformation—*Math. Mag.* 29 (1950-51).
- [3] Rooney, P. G.—A new representation and inversion theory for the Laplace transformation—*Canad. J. Math.*, Vol. (IV) (1952), 438-444.
- [4] Widder, D. V.—The Laplace Transform—Princeton, 1946.