

# ON THE ORDER AND TYPE OF DIFFERENTIAL MONOMIALS

SANJIB KUMAR DATTA

**ABSTRACT :** In the paper we study the relation between the order (type) of a transcendental meromorphic function and that of a differential monomial generated by it.

**AMS Subject Classification (2000) :** 30D35

**Key words and phrases :** Defferential monomials, Transcendental meromorphic function, Order, Hyper Order, Type.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let  $f$  be a transcendental meromorphic function defined in the open complex plane  $C$  and  $n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k$ , be non negative integers such that  $\sum_{i=0}^k n_i \geq 1$ . We call  $p[f] = af^{n_0}(f^{(1)})^{n_1} \dots (f^{(k)})^{n_k}$  where  $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$  to be a differential monomial generated by  $f$ . The numbers  $\gamma_p = \sum_{i=0}^k n_i$  and  $\Gamma_p = \sum_{i=0}^k (i+1)n_i$  are respectively called the degree and weight of  $P[f]$ .

In the paper we establish the relation between the order (type) of  $P[f]$  and  $f$ .

The following definitions are well known.

**Definition 1.1.** [4] For  $a \in C \cup \{\infty\}$  we denote by  $n(r, a; f | = 1)$  the number of simple zeros of  $f-a$  in  $|z| \leq r$ .  $N(r, a; f | = 1)$  is defined in terms of  $n(r, a; f | = 1)$  in the usual way. Also we put

$$\delta_1(a; f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a; f | = 1)}{T(r, f)}$$

Yang [3] proved that there exists at most a denumerable number of complex numbers  $a \in C \cup \{\infty\}$  for which  $\delta_1(a; f) > 0$  and  $\sum_{a \in C \cup \{\infty\}} \delta_1(a; f) \leq 4$

**Definition 1.2.** The order  $\rho_f$  and lower order  $\lambda_f$  of a meromorphic function  $f$  is defined as

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}$$

$$\text{and } \lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}$$

if  $f$  is entire then

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

$$\text{and } \lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

**Definition 1.3.** The hyper order  $\bar{\rho}_f$  and hyper lower order  $\bar{\lambda}_f$  of a meromorphic function  $f$  is defined as

$$\bar{\rho}_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} T(r, f)}{\log r} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\lambda}_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} T(r, f)}{\log r}$$

If  $f$  is entire then one can easily verify that

$$\bar{\rho}_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[3]} M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

$$\text{and } \bar{\lambda}_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[3]} M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

**Definition 1.4.** The type of  $\sigma_f$  a meromorphic function  $f$  is defined as

$$\sigma_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{r^{\rho_f}}, \quad 0 < \rho_f < \infty.$$

In the paper we do not explain the standard notations of value distribution theory as those are available in [1].

## 2. LEMMA

In this section we present a lemma which will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. [2] Let  $f$  be of finite order or of non-zero lower order. If  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \neq 0} \delta_{\alpha}(f) = 4$

$$\text{then } \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, P[f])}{T(r, f)} = \Gamma_p - (\Gamma_p - \gamma_p) \theta(\infty, f).$$

### 3. THEOREMS

In this section we present the main results of the paper.

Theorem 3.1. Let  $f$  be of positive finite order and  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \neq 0} \delta_{\alpha}(f) = 4$ . Then the order

of  $P[f]$  is same as that of  $f$  and type of  $P[f]$  is  $\{\Gamma_p - (\Gamma_p - \gamma_p) \theta(\infty, f)\}$  times that of  $f$ .

Proof. Let  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  be the orders and  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  be the types of  $f$  and  $P[f]$  respectively.

Then by Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, P[f])}{\log r} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r} \cdot \frac{\log T(r, P[f])}{\log T(r, f)} \\ &\leq \rho_1 \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, P[f])}{\log T(r, f)} \\ &= \rho_1 \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{T(r, P[f])}{T(r, f)} + \log T(r, f)}{\log T(r, f)} \\ &= \rho_1 \end{aligned} \quad \dots (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Again } \rho_1 &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r} \\ &\leq \rho_2 \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{T(r, f)}{T(r, P[f])} + \log T(r, P[f])}{\log T(r, P[f])} \\ &= \rho_2 \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2)$$

From (1) and (2) we get  $\rho_1 = \rho_2$ .

Now by Lemma 2.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_2 &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, P[f])}{r^{\rho_2}} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{r^{\rho_1}} \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, P[f])}{T(r, f)} \\ &= \{\Gamma_p - (\Gamma_p - \gamma_p) \theta(\infty, f)\} \tau_1.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f$  be of finite order or of non zero lower order. If  $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}} \delta_1(a; f) = 4$

then the lower order of  $P[f]$  is same as that of  $f$ .

The proof is omitted.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $f$  be of finite order or of non zero lower order. Also let  $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}} \delta_1(a; f) = 4$

Then the hyper order of  $P[f]$  is same as that of  $f$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\bar{\rho}_1, \bar{\rho}_2$  be the hyper orders of  $f$  and  $P[f]$  respectively.

Now in view of Lemma 2.1,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} T(r, P[f])}{\log^{[2]} T(r, f)}$  exists and is equal to 1.

Thus we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\rho}_2 &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} T(r, P[f])}{\log r} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{\log^2 T(r, f)}{\log r} \cdot \frac{\log^{[2]} T(r, P[f])}{\log^2 T(r, f)} \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^2 T(r, f)}{\log r} \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} T(r, P[f])}{\log^{[2]} T(r, f)} \\ &= \bar{\rho}_1.\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $f$  be of finite order or of non zero lower order and  $\sum_{a \in C \cup \{\infty\}} \delta_1(a; f) = 4$ .

Then the hyper lower orders of  $P[f]$  and  $f$  are same.

The proof is omitted.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic Functions, The Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964).
2. I. Lahiri and S. K. Datta, Growth and value distribution of differential monomials, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 32 (12), December (2001), pp. 1831–1841.
3. L. Yang, Value distribution theory and new research on it, Science Press, Beijing (1982).
4. H. X. Yi, On a result of Singh, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 41 (1990), pp. 417-420.

Department of Pure Mathematics

Jhargram Raj College

Jhargram

West Midnapore 721507

West Bengal