

## ON SOME CLASSES OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

ABIR KUMAR ADHIKARY & S. K. CHATTERJEA

1. G. S. Salagean [4] defined the classes  $S_n(\alpha)$  of univalent and normalized functions  $f$  in the unit disc  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} ; |z| < 1\}$  by

$$S_n(\alpha) = \left\{ f : \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} > \alpha, z \in U \right\},$$

where  $n \in N_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ ,

by means of the following differential operators :

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z), D^1 f(z) \equiv Df(z) = zf'(z), D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1}f(z)).$$

It may be of interest to observe that his  $S_0(\alpha)$  is the well known class  $S_\alpha^*$  of starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  and his  $S_1(\alpha)$  is the well known class  $K_\alpha$  of convex functions of order  $\alpha$ . Furthermore  $S_1(\alpha) \subset S_0(\alpha)$  by virtue of his result  $S_{n+1}(\alpha) \subset S_n(\alpha)$ . Now for Salagean classes  $S_n(\alpha)$  one can deduce that (cf. [1])

$$(1.1) \quad \alpha + \frac{1-r}{1+r} \leq \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \leq \alpha + \frac{1+r}{1-r}, \quad |z| = r < 1.$$

$$\text{since } \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} = \frac{D(D^n f(z))}{D^n f(z)} = \frac{z (D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)},$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z (D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} \right\} = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |D^n f(z)|.$$

It therefore follows from (1.1) that

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{r} \left( \alpha + \frac{1-r}{1+r} \right) \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |D^n f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r} \left( \alpha + \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right).$$

In particular, when  $r=0$ , one obtains from (1.2)

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{r} \left( \alpha + \frac{1-r}{1+r} \right) \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r} \left( \alpha + \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right),$$

which was obtained by us in a previous work [1].

Again, when  $n=1$ , one obtains from (1.2)

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{r} \left\{ (\alpha-1) + \frac{1-r}{1+r} \right\} \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r} \left\{ (\alpha-1) + \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right\}$$

Integrating (1.4) from 0 to  $r$ , one obtains

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{r^\alpha}{(1+r)^2} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{r^\alpha}{(1-r)^2},$$

from which one can derive

$$(1.6) \quad \int_0^r \frac{r^\alpha}{(1+r)^2} dr \leq |f(z)| \leq \int_0^r \frac{r^\alpha}{(1-r)^2} dr,$$

which was also obtained by us in the previous work [1].

Since Salagean remarked that all functions in  $S_n(\alpha)$ ,  $n \in N_0$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  are starlike and all functions in  $S_n(\alpha)$ ,  $n \in N$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  are convex, we can remark that the same distortion theorem (1.2) is true for starlike functions and convex functions according as  $n \in N_0$  and  $n \in N$ . It is evident that  $f \in S_n(\alpha)$ ,  $n \in N \Rightarrow z f' \in S_n(\alpha)$ ,  $n \in N_0$ .

Next we shall show that the co-efficient result in connection with  $S_n(\alpha)$  in theorem 4 of Salagean follows at once from that in connection with  $S_\alpha^*$  due to H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia [4]. In fact, we notice that

$$S_n(\alpha) = \left\{ f : \operatorname{Re} \frac{z \phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} > \alpha, z \in U \right\},$$

where  $\phi(z) \equiv D^n f(z)$ .

Now  $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$  and therefore

$$\phi(z) \equiv D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^n a_j z^j \in S_{\alpha}^*, n \in N_0.$$

Let us put  $\phi = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j$ , where  $b_j = j^n a_j$  and  $b_2 = 2^n a_2$  ( $|a_2| = a$ ). Then from the coefficient result of Silverman and Silvia we get

$$|b_j| \leq \frac{1 + |b_2|}{3 - 2\alpha} \frac{\prod_{k=2}^j (k - 2\alpha)}{(j-1)!}, j = 3, 4, \dots$$

$$\text{i.e. } |a_j| \leq \frac{1 + 2^n a}{3 - 2\alpha} \frac{\prod_{k=2}^j (k - 2\alpha)}{(j-1)! j^n}$$

which is the coefficient result derived by Salagean who offered a proof in about two pages. In fact, putting  $n=0$  and  $n=1$  successively in the above coefficient result of Silverman and Silvia for  $S^*(\alpha)$  and  $K_{\alpha}$  respectively.

2. S Ruschewyh [3] defined another classes  $K_n$  of univalent and normalized function  $f$  in the unit disc  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} ; |z| < 1\}$  by

$$K_n = \left\{ f ; \operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{n+1} f(z)}{\mathfrak{D}^n f(z)} > \frac{1}{2}, z \in U \right\},$$

where  $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}^n f = z (z^{n-1} f)^{(n)} / n!$ .

It may be of interest to observe that his  $K_0$  is the well known class  $S_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$  of starlike functions of order  $\frac{1}{2}$  and his  $K_1$  is the well known class  $K$  of convex functions of order zero.

Thus  $K_1 \equiv K \subset K_0$  is a special case of the following result of Ruschewyh

$$K_{n+1} \subset K_n, n \in N_0$$

Now for Ruschewyh classes  $K_n$  one can deduce that (cf. [1])

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-r}{1+r} \leq \operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{n+1}f}{\mathfrak{D}^n f} \leq -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+r}{1-r}, \quad |z| = r < 1$$

Since we know that [2]

$$\frac{\mathfrak{D}^{n+1}f}{\mathfrak{D}^n f} = \frac{1}{n+1} \left( z \frac{(\mathfrak{D}^n f)'}{\mathfrak{D}^n f} + n \right),$$

we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{n+1}f}{\mathfrak{D}^n f} = \frac{1}{n+1} \left( n + r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log | \mathfrak{D}^n f | \right).$$

It therefore follows from (2.1) that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-r}{1+r} \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \left( n + r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log | \mathfrak{D}^n f | \right) \leq -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+r}{1-r}.$$

In particular, when  $n=0$ , obtains from (2.2)

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-r}{1+r} \right) \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log | f(z) | \leq -\frac{1}{r} \left( -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right),$$

which is a particular case of our previous result [1].

Again, when  $n=1$ , one obtains from (2.2)

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{r} \left( -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-r}{1+r} \right) \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log | f'(z) | \leq \frac{1}{r} \left( -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right).$$

Integrating (2.4) from 0 to  $r$  one obtains

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\sqrt{r}}{(1+r)^2} \leq | f'(z) | \leq \frac{\sqrt{r}}{(1-r)^2},$$

from which one can derive

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^r \frac{\sqrt{r}}{(1+r)^2} dr \leq | f(z) | \leq \int_0^r \frac{\sqrt{r}}{(1-r)^2} dr$$

$$\text{i. e. } \tan^{-1} \sqrt{r} - \frac{\sqrt{r}}{1+r} \leq | f(z) | \leq \frac{\sqrt{r}}{1-r} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\sqrt{r}}{1-\sqrt{r}}.$$

It may be noted that (2.5) and (2.6) are well compared with the following known results for convex functions of order zero

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{(1+r)^2} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{(1-r)^2}$$

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{r}{1+r} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{r}{1-r}$$

Like §1 we can remark that all functions in  $K_n$ ,  $n \in N_0$ , are starlike of order  $\frac{1}{2}$  and all functions in  $K_n$ ,  $n \in N$ , are convex of order zero and therefore the same distortion theorem (2.2) is true for starlike functions of order  $\frac{1}{2}$  and convex functions of order zero according as  $n \in N_0$  and  $n \in N$ .

Finally we shall point out the geometrical structure of the elements in  $K_n$ . To this end, we notice that

$$K_n = \left\{ f : \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{1}{n+1} \left( n + \frac{z(\mathfrak{D}^n f)'}{\mathfrak{D}^n f} \right) \right] > \frac{1}{2}, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}$$

$$\text{i. e. } K_n = \left\{ f : \operatorname{Re} \frac{z\Phi'(z)}{\Phi(z)} > \frac{1-n}{2}, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\},$$

where  $\Phi = \mathfrak{D}^n f$ .

Now  $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$  and therefore

$$\Phi(z) \equiv \mathfrak{D}^n f(z) = [z(1-z)^{-n-1}] * f(z)$$

$$= z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)_{j-1}}{(j-1)!} a_j z^j \in S_{(1-n)/2}^*, \quad n \in N_0,$$

although  $S_{(1-n)/2}^*$  is not known in the literature except for  $n=0$  and  $n=1$ . Indeed,

when  $n=0$ ,  $\Phi \equiv f \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$  and when  $n=1$ ,  $\Phi \in S_0^*$  or in other words  $f \in K$ . Yet Ruscheweyh

defined the class  $K_{-1}$  as the set of functions  $f$  with  $\operatorname{Re} f(z)/z > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ . Actually when

$n=-1$ ,  $\Phi \in S_1^*$ , which is also not known in the literature. So the notation  $S_{(n-1)/2}^*$  is

not unnatural in the geometric function theory—which furnishes an open problem in this paper. When  $n$  is replaced by an arbitrary real number  $\alpha \geq -1$ , it may be of interest to

observe that  $\Phi(z) \equiv \mathfrak{D}^\alpha f(z) \in S_{(1-\alpha)/2}^*$ .

### REFERENCES

- [1] Chatterjea, S. K. and Adhikary Abir Kumar—On some classes of starlike and convex functions, this Journal vol 6 ( 1987-88 ), 63.
- [2] Fukui, S. and Sakaguchi, K.—An extension of a theorem of S. Ruscheweyh, Bull. Fac. Edu. Wakayama Univ. Nat. Sci. 29 (1980), 1—
- [3] Ruscheweyh, Stephen—New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1975); 109—115
- [4] Salagean, Grigore Stefan—Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Math. 1013 ( Springer—Verlag ( 1983 ) ), 362--372.

Received  
30.12.1988

Dept. of Pure Mathematics  
Calcutta University  
Calcutta-700 019, India